

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/705,967	11/03/2000	Jeff A. Josten	STL000039US1/1715P	5056	
7:	590 08/21/2003				
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP			EXAMINER		
	P.O. Box 51418 Palo Alto, CA 94303			PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2177		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.73(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.73(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered simely. If the period for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will give five the mailing date of this communication. Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ASAMODINED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Part of the period of the second part of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any second pattent term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is reply alter the produce of the period of the period of the period pattent term signature. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b). Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed				_
Examiner Sathyanarayan Pannala 2177 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If the period to reply is epocified above, the maximum datatory period will apply and will expend SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period to reply is epocified above, the maximum datatory period will apply and will expens SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is epocified above, the maximum datatory period will apply so and will expens SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. - If the period for reply is epocified above, the maximum datatory period will apply so and will expens SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. - Any reply exceed by the Efficie state the network good days, a reply within the statutory printing the fill of the communication. - Any reply exceed by the Efficie state the network good days, a reply within the statutory printing of the communication. - Any reply exceed by the Efficie state the network good days, a reply within the statutory printing of the communication. - Any reply exceed by the Efficie state the network good good and accordance and state of the communication. - Any reply exceed by the Efficie state the network good good good good good good good goo		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Sathyanarayan Pannala 2177 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address – Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply be simely filed all replaced for reply specified provise is less than thirty (30) days, a reply be simely filed all replaced for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the standary reminimal of their (30) days will be considered timely. 1 the period for reply specified above is less than their period in apply within the standary reminimal of their (30) days will be considered timely. 1 the period for reply specified above is less than their mentities after the mailting date of this communication. 1 the period for reply specified above is the standary period vall apply with will be standary reminimal of they (30) days will be considered timely. 1 the period for reply specified above is less than their mentities after the mailting date of this communication. 2 the period for reply specified on the standary reminimal of their date of the communication. 3 the period for reply specified above is less than their mentities after the mailting date of this communication. 4 the period for reply specified above is less than their mailting date of this communication. 4 the period for file of the period of the communication and the standary replaced and the standary rep		09/705,967	JOSTEN ET AL.	`
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply is specified above is less than thely (30) days, and you be inably filled in the period of the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercised to time may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1.75(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exercised to time may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1.75(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exercised to time may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1.75(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exercised to time may be available under the previous of 37 CPR 1.75(b). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If NO period for reply is pecified abover, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 31% (0) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Officia bethe than there nominical after the mailing date of the communication, event timely filled, may make any available and previous any consequent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). - Status 1)				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3°CFR 1.316(s). In an event, however, may a reply be timely field after SX (8) MCRYTIS from the mailing date of this communication of 3°CFR 1.316(s). In an event, however, may a reply be timely field after SX (8) MCRYTIS from the mailing date of this communication. See SX (8) MCRYTIS from the mailing date of this communication of the communication	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address -	
2ai This action is FINAL. 2bi This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) flied on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replant of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	June 2003 .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Matchment(s) 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Matchment(s) 16) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 17) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				is
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	on.		
6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Natice of Prafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Natice of References Cited (PTO-892) b) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	•	or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	<u> </u>			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 14) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			, ,	
12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 20 Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			sapproved by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• •		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 41 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 52 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		xaminer.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Authorized PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	`	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· _ ·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Diagram Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	plication No	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 14) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u></u>	•		tion).
Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		• •		·
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	. ,	· -	
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In		

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

1. As per the Applicant's Amendment filed on 6/30/2003, added claims 19-22. On the basis of this office action claims 1-22 are pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3-5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and

Art Unit: 2177

invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harderle et al. (US Patent 6,185,699) and in view of Watts et al. (US Patent 6,275,832).
- 5. As per independent claims 1, 7, 13, Harderle rendered by the following:
 - a) "allowing at least one system of the plurality of systems to fail" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 47-49:
 - c) "restarting the at least one system utilizing minimal resources" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 53-57.

Harderle does not teach specifically retaining locks at the time of restarting the system after failure. However, Watts teaches the following:

b) "retaining a plurality of locks of the at least one system" at Fig. 3, col. 7, line 65 to col. 8, line 14.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate computer-programming instructions to convert nonstandard database record to a standard database record. Harderle and Watts are combined as they teach recovery techniques from database failure and to retain of locks during database restarting time. In order to REDO/UNDO process locks of the database must be retained at the time of restating system from failure state.

6. As per dependent claims 2, 8, 14, Watts teaches "step b) further comprises allowing another system of the plurality of systems to retain the plurality of locks of the at least one system" at Fig. 3, col. 7, line 65 to col. 8, line 14.

Art Unit: 2177

7. As per dependent claims 3, 9, 15, Harderle teaches the following:

- c I) "allowing the another system of the plurality of systems to restart the at least one system" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 53-54;
- c3) "allowing the at least one system to terminate in a normal fashion" at Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 49-54.

Watts teaches the following:

- c2) "recovering data being protected by the retained locks of the at least one system utilizing minimal resources of the another system" at Fig. 3, col. 7, line 65 to col. 8, line 14.
- 8. As per dependent claims 4, 10, 16, Watts teaches "minimal resources comprises a predefined plurality of resources necessary to recover the data being protected by the retained locks of the at least one system" at Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 34-45.
- As per dependent claims 5, 11, 17, 22, Harderle teaches the following:
 c1 i) "providing a request to restart the at least one system utilizing minimal resources" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 53-54;
 - c1 ii) "allowing the another system to detect the request" at Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 49-52;
 - c1 iii) "allowing the another system to restart the at least one system based on the request" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 53-60.
- 10. As per dependent claims 6, 12, 18, Watts teaches "the plurality of locks comprise a plurality of data locks" (Examiner interprets locks are pertaining to data since they are pertaining to data transactions) at Fig. 3, col. 8, lines 6-13.

Art Unit: 2177

11. As per independent claim 19, Harderle rendered by the following:

- a) "allowing at least one system of the plurality of systems to fail" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 47-49;
- c) "restarting the at least one system using only resources that are necessary for recovering the data protected by the plurality of locks" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 53-60.

Harderle does not teach specifically retaining locks at the time of restarting the system after failure. However, Watts teaches the following:

b) "retaining a plurality of locks of the at least one system" at Fig. 3, col. 7, line 65 to col. 8, line 14.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate computer-programming instructions to convert nonstandard database record to a standard database record. Harderle and Watts are combined as they teach recovery techniques from database failure and to retain of locks during database restarting time. In order to REDO/UNDO process locks of the database must be retained at the time of restating system from failure state.

- 12. As per dependent claim 20, Watts teaches "claim 19 step b) further comprises allowing another system of the plurality of systems to retain the plurality of locks of the at least one system" at Fig. 3, col. 7, line 65 to col. 8, line 14.
- 13. As per dependent claim 21, Harderle teaches the following:"allowing the another system of the plurality of systems to restart the at least one system" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 53-54.

Art Unit: 2177

"recovering data being protected by the retained locks of the at least one system utilizing minimal resources of another system" at Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 49-54. "allowing the at least one system to terminate in a normal fashion" at Fig. 3, col. 7, line 65 to col. 8, line 14.

14. As per dependent claim 22, Harderle teaches the following:

"providing a request to restart the at least one system utilizing minimal resources" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 53-54.

"allowing the another system to detect the request" at Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 49-52. "allowing the another system to restart the at least one system based on the request" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 53-60.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/30/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as stated below:

First, the applicant stated as "However, Harderle does not teach the step of 'restarting the at least one system utilizing minimal resources' as recited in independent claim 1."

In response to the applicant's argument, Harderle teaches restarting the system automatically in response to the failure, or waits for a user command to restart, the recovery mechanism makes an analysis pass through the log form the last check-point forward (at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 54-60). In the specification of

the invention did not list the minimal resources (see page 3, lines 18-21) in order to do thorough investigation. Whereas Watts teaches retaining locks to recover the system from failure (at Fig. 3, col. 7, line 65 to col. 8, line 14).

Page 7

Conclusion

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 17. The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 18. If a reference indicated, as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose telephone number is (703) 305-9601 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sathyanarayan Pannala whose telephone number is (703) 305-3390. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Sathyanarayan Pannala Examiner Art Unit 2177

srp August 15, 2003

> -BRETA HOBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER